



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/755,254	01/04/2001		William Joshua Price	M-8504 US	5201
32566	7590	03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
PATENT L	AW GRO	OUP LLP	CHANG, ERIC		
2635 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 223 SAN JOSE, CA 95134				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2116	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

		Λ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/755,254	PRICE, WILLIAM JOSHUA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric Chang	2116
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal C 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/755,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 23 recites "a third switch" in lines 4-5 of the claim; however the antecedent basis for "a third switch" has already been established in claim 19, upon which claim 23 depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent U.S. Patent 6,260,079 to White, in view of U.S. Patent 4,220,876 to Ray.

ì` .

Art Unit: 2116

6. As to claim 1, White discloses a device comprising a controller powered by a voltage circuit and coupled to an internal bus [FIG. 10, and col. 15, lines 38-56]. White teaches that a plurality of such devices may further be coupled to an external SCSI bus [FIG. 5, elements 513-515 and 518]. Therefore, White teaches a first device comprising a first controller powered by a first voltage circuit and coupled to a first bus, and a second device comprising a second controller powered by a second voltage circuit and coupled to a second bus, substantially as claimed, and that the two devices are further coupled to an external bus.

White teaches all of the limitations of the claim but does not teach that a first switch is coupled between the buses to decouple the first and second buses when a voltage falls below a predetermined threshold.

Ray teaches a switch operative to decouple a device from a bus when a voltage falls below a predetermined threshold [Abstract]. When an un-powered device taught by White is decoupled from the external bus using the teachings of Ray, the internal bus of the un-powered device is thereby decoupled from the internal buses of other devices on the external bus, substantially as claimed.

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the bus decoupling means as taught by Ray. Ray teaches that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the loss of power, either intentional or accidental, to a device on the bus would not affect the electrical load on the bus [col. 1, lines 35-57].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of providing resilient and Application/Control Number: 09/755,254 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

fault-tolerant performance for devices on a bus. Moreover, the bus decoupling means taught by Ray would improve the robustness of White because it electrically isolates un-powered devices, thereby preventing bus performance degradation and a concomitantly negative impact on the operation of other devices on the bus.

- 7. As to claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-13, 15-18, 20-22 and 24-26, White discloses a bus coupled to a first plurality of elements, including at least one of a temperature sensor, a memory, a backplane controller, a port bypass circuit, an I/O expansion slots for disk drives, and at least one power supply [col. 20, lines 64-67, and col. 21, lines 1-26]. It would further be well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that a battery can be used as a power supply, substantially as claimed.
- 8. As to claims 5, 9, 14, 19 and 23, White discloses devices comprising a controller powered by a first voltage circuit and coupled to an internal bus. Ray discloses a switch operable to decouple devices from an external bus, and the internal buses of said devices from each other when the voltage output from a power circuit falls below a predetermined threshold. Because White and Ray teach that the buses coupled to a controller may be decoupled when the voltage output from a power circuit falls below a predetermined threshold, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that White and Ray further teach that any number of buses coupled to a controller may likewise be decoupled by such switch means. Therefore, White and Ray teach a second, third and fourth switch for decoupling a third, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh bus coupled to controllers, substantially as claimed.

Page 5

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 1, 2004

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100